different example—if you look at the vaccine mandates that are being applied at our Nation's capital in the seat of liberty, here in the United States of America, if you are in the District of Columbia and you go to a restaurant and you show them that you took a test that afternoon and are negative for COVID but you are not vaccinated, you can't go in. But if you are vaccinated and you are positive for COVID, you can go in.

In what universe does that make sense? But that is what we are doing. We are turning logic and common sense on its head. And we are doing so because—I agree with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, by the way—we politicize the virus, and we politicize our response to it.

Our friends in Japan, they put out their public policy as: There is a vaccine. We think it is good. We think you ought to consider taking it or strongly encourage you to take it. There are some risks that we are studying. We are not going to mandate it.

And their vaccination rates are higher than ours. Now maybe they are a more compliant society. There are all sorts of reasons behind it; we are bigger. But it tells you something. Why is that not our position as a country. Right? Encouraging people.

My dad is 79 years old and a polio survivor. I wanted him to get the vaccine; I wanted him to get the boost. He is particularly vulnerable. My mom. But it ought to be up to us. We ought to be able to decide for our families. I assume the gentleman agrees.

Mr. ISSA. Not only do I agree, but I just want to share something about this body.

You know, you and I get into elevators and sometimes our colleagues get out of the elevator, particularly if we are not wearing a mask. One of those people, one of my dear friends, SARA JACOBS had two shots, the booster, she always wears two masks and she is extremely careful. And she is at home with a positive on this virus.

So one of the things that we just have to ask ourselves is, Are we mandating to the United States military, where there is a 99 percent vaccination rate, are we throwing out 1 percent because it is going to make a difference? Or are we throwing out 1 percent based on some theory that an order is an order from the Commander in Chief, even if it no longer makes any medical sense whatsoever?

So keep it up. Fight for our men and women in uniform, and you will have us at your side. I thank the gentleman for yielding me time.

Mr. ROY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California. I am just going to wind down here and say this is our time to lead. It does not matter who is the majority in this body. This is the people's House, and this is the people's House whether the Democrats are in charge or the Republicans are in charge.

And we have a question before the body, and the Senate will have a question before that body: Will you continue to fund the enforcement of mandates and vaccines in a way that is causing the best among us, of our public servants, in our military, in our hospitals to lose their jobs with no basis in science for continuing to do so?

If this is the land of the free, then let us stand up. Let's stand up for freedom and let us reject these mandates.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

## ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 11(b) of House Resolution 188, the House stands adjourned until noon on Monday next for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business

Thereupon (at 1 o'clock and 9 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Monday, February 7, 2022, at noon for morning-hour debate.

## EXPENDITURE REPORTS CONCERNING OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL

Reports concerning the foreign currencies and U.S. dollars utilized for Official Foreign Travel during the fourth quarter of 2021, pursuant to Public Law 95–384 are as follows:

REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN OCT. 1 AND DEC. 31, 2021

	Date			Per diem 1		Transportation		Other purposes		Total	
Name of Member or employee	Arrival	Departure	Country	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency <sup>2</sup>						
Hon. Randy Feenstra	10/08 10/08 10/09	10/12 10/09 10/12	Panama, Colombia		333.00 912.00		2924.22				2924.22 333.00 912.00
Committee total											4169.22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per diem constitutes lodging and meals

HON. DAVID SCOTT, Jan. 31, 2022.

## REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN OCT. 1 AND DEC. 31, 2021

	Date			Per diem <sup>1</sup>		Transportation		Other purposes		Total	
Name of Member or employee	Arrival	Departure	Country	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency <sup>2</sup>	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency <sup>2</sup>	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency <sup>2</sup>	Foreign currency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency <sup>2</sup>
Hon. David Valadao	11/7	11/9	Albania		402.46		14,889.37				15,291.83
Hon. Tony Gonzales	11/8	11/9	Guam				1,437.39				1,437.39
	11/9	11/9	Phillipines				(3)				
	11/9	11/11	Taiwan		586.91		4,205.90				4,792.81
	11/11	11/13	India		500.49		5,235.91				5,736.40
Committee total					1,489.86		25,768.57				27,258.43

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per diem constitutes lodging and meals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If foreign currency is used, enter U.S. dollar equivalent; if U.S. currency is used, enter amount expended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If foreign currency is used, enter U.S. dollar equivalent; if U.S. currency is used, enter amount expended,